_ _

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

----00000----

SHERIE WHITE,

V.

NO. CIV. S-04-0620 WBS KJM

Plaintiff,

ORDER RE: COSTS

GMRI, INC., dba Red Lobster,

Defendant.

----00000----

On November 21, 2005, the court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff. Plaintiff then submitted a cost bill totaling \$729.48. Defendant does not object.

Rule 54(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 54-292(f) govern the taxation of costs to losing parties, subject to limits set under 28 U.S.C. § 1920. See 28 U.S.C. § 1920 (enumerating taxable costs); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1) ("[C]osts other than attorneys' fees shall be allowed as of course to the prevailing party unless the court otherwise directs . . ."); L.R. 54-292(f); Crawford Fitting Co. v. J.T. Gibbons, Inc., 482 U.S. 437, 441 (1987) (limiting taxable costs to those enumerated in 28 U.S.C. § 1920). The district court has

1	discretion to determine what constitutes a taxable cost within
2	the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1920. Amarel v. Connell, 102 F.3d
3	1494, 1523 (9th Cir. 1997); <u>Alflex Corp. v. Underwriters' Lab.</u> ,
4	<u>Inc.</u> , 914 F.2d 175, 177 (9th Cir. 1990). The losing party has
5	the burden of overcoming the presumption in favor of awarding
6	costs to the prevailing party. <u>See Russian River Watershed</u>
7	Protection Comm. v. City of Santa Rosa, 142 F.3d 1136, 1144 (9th
8	Cir. 1998); <u>Amarel</u> , 102 F.3d at 1523.
9	After reviewing the bill, the court finds all costs to
10	have been previously awarded by order of this court. (See Jan.
11	20, 2006 Order.)
12	Accordingly, costs of \$729.48 will be denied.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	DATED: February 17, 2006
15	William Br Shubb
16	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	